




Calculated Decisions

POWERED BY



Clinical Decision Support for *Emergency Medicine Practice* Subscribers



Click the thumbnail above to access the calculator.

Parkland Formula for Burns

Introduction: The Parkland formula for burns calculates fluid requirements for burn patients in a 24-hour period.

Points & Pearls

- The Parkland formula is a validated and effective approach to initial fluid resuscitation in the acutely burned patient.
- Overly aggressive fluid resuscitation, termed “fluid creep,” is well documented in critical care literature. Factors that may lead to fluid creep include lack of physician observation of endpoints (ie, urine output), increased opioid use, and the emergency nature of goal-directed resuscitation.
- Patients with inhalational and electrical burns, as well as children and the elderly, may require more or less fluid resuscitation than is predicted by the Parkland formula.

Advice

It is important to remember that all resuscitation formulas should only be used as guides. Patients should be assessed frequently, with individual adjustments made to maintain adequate organ perfusion.

Critical Actions

Critically ill burn patients are best cared for at a dedicated burn center, particularly those who have any of the following:

- Partial-thickness burns to > 10% of total body surface area

- Any size full-thickness burn
- Burns to hands or genitals
- Inhalation injury
- Serious chemical injury
- Serious electrical injuries, including lightning injury

Evidence Appraisal

Blumetti et al (2008) conducted a retrospective study of patients resuscitated with the Parkland formula at a single institution over 15 years to determine the accuracy of the formula in guiding resuscitation. Using urine output as a guideline for adequate resuscitation, they found that patients commonly received fluid volumes higher than predicted by the Parkland formula, and concluded that the formula should represent a resuscitation “starting point,” but urine output is the most important parameter to control resuscitation volume.

Cartotto et al (2002) performed a retrospective study, and also found that the Parkland formula underestimated the volume requirements in most adults with burns, especially in those with large full-thickness burns. Thus, the Parkland formula is a validated and effective approach to **initial** fluid resuscitation in the acutely burned patient (Baxter 1974, Cartotto 2002, Blumetti 2008).

Use the Calculator Now

[Click here to access the calculator.](#)

Calculator Creator

Charles Baxter, MD

[Click here to read more about Dr. Baxter.](#)

CALCULATOR REVIEW AUTHOR

David Zodda, MD

Department of Emergency Medicine
Hackensack University Medical Center, Hackensack, NJ

Why to Use

The Parkland formula has been endorsed by the American Burn Association. It has been shown to appropriately restore intravascular volume and limit the development of hypovolemic shock.

When to Use

Use the Parkland formula for patients with acute burns.

Next Steps

Resuscitation endpoints and monitoring:

- Urine output: 0.5 mL/kg/hr in adults (50-100 cc/hr) and 0.5 to 1.0 mL/kg/hr in children weighing < 30 kg.
- Heart rate: A heart rate of < 110 bpm in adults usually indicates adequate volume. Narrowed pulse pressure provides an earlier indication of shock than does systolic blood pressure alone.
- Monitoring blood pressure by arterial catheter is superior to cuff pressures because of the interference of tissue edema. The radial artery is the first choice, followed by the femoral artery.
- Serum lactate is a strong predictor of mortality, and trends can be utilized to determine hemostatic status; however, it should not be used as an independent predictor of adequate fluid resuscitation.

References

Original/Primary Reference

- Baxter CR. Fluid volume and electrolyte changes in the early post-burn period. *Clin Plast Surg.* 1974;1:693-703.

Validation Reference

- Cartotto RC, Innes M, Musgrave MA, et al. How well does the Parkland formula estimate actual fluid resuscitation volumes? *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 2002; 23(4):258-265.

Other References

- Blumetti J, Hunt JL, Arnoldo BD, et al. The Parkland formula under fire: is the criticism justified? *J Burn Care Res.* 2008;29(1):180-186.
- American Burn Association Practice Guidelines. Available at: <http://www.ameriburn.org>. Accessed January 4, 2018.
- Ahrens KS. Trends in burn resuscitation: shifting the focus from fluids to adequate endpoint monitoring, edema control, and adjuvant therapies. *Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am.* 2004;16(1):75-98.
- Ahrens KS, Harkins DR. Initial resuscitation after burn injury: therapies, strategies, and controversies. *AACN Clin Issues.* 1999;10(1):46-60.
- Jeng JC, Jablonski K, Bridgeman A, et al. Serum lactate, not base deficit, rapidly predicts survival after major burns. *Burns.* 2002;28(2):161-166.

Copyright © MDCalc • Reprinted with permission.

Related Calculators

- [Click here to access the Arterial Blood Gas \(ABG\) Analyzer.](#)
- [Click here to access the Maintenance Fluids Calculation.](#)

This edition of *Calculated Decisions*, powered by MDCalc, is published as a supplement to *Emergency Medicine Practice* as an exclusive benefit to subscribers. *Calculated Decisions* is the result of a collaboration between EB Medicine, publisher of *Emergency Medicine Practice*, and MD Aware, developer of MDCalc. Both companies are dedicated to providing evidence-based clinical decision-making support for emergency medicine clinicians.



Contact EB Medicine:

Phone: 1-800-249-5770

or 678-366-7933

Fax: 770-500-1316

Address:

5550 Triangle Parkway, Suite 150
Norcross, GA 30092



Contact MD Aware:

MDCalc

Phone: 646-543-8380

Address:

902 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10010

Emergency Medicine Practice (ISSN Print: 1524-1971, ISSN Online: 1559-3908, ACID-FREE) is published monthly (12 times per year) by EB Medicine (5550 Triangle Parkway, Suite 150, Norcross, GA 30092). Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of this publication. Mention of products or services does not constitute endorsement. This publication is intended as a general guide and is intended to supplement, rather than substitute, professional judgment. It covers a highly technical and complex subject and should not be used for making specific medical decisions. The materials contained herein are not intended to establish policy, procedure, or standard of care. Copyright © 2018 EB Medicine. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any format without written consent of EB Medicine. This publication is intended for the use of the individual subscriber only and may not be copied in whole or part or redistributed in any way without the publisher's prior written permission.