Points & Pearls

- The types of wound closures are clearly described; however, patient-specific characteristics such as risk factors and nutritional status, in addition to the quality of the wound itself, will make every clinical scenario different.
- Surgeon experience and judgment often dictate the type and timing of closure.
- The Wound Closure Classification has limited application in abdominal and orthopedic wounds. Multiple studies with varying levels of evidence have compared primary versus delayed primary closure of contaminated or infected abdominal wounds and of traumatic open orthopedic wounds in the context of reducing surgical site infections. No definitive evidence-based consensus currently exists on the optimal time of primary skin closure for either abdominal or orthopedic wounds.

Advice

Wound closure types are categorized into primary, secondary, or tertiary. Primary closure is healing by primary or first intention in clean wounds with minimal tissue loss that are amenable to approximation of wound edges. Primary closure is ideally performed within 6 to 8 hours of trauma, but wounds in vascular areas may be delayed to up to 24 hours after trauma.

Secondary closure is healing by secondary or second intent. The wound is left open to heal largely by the formation of granulation tissue and contraction. These wounds often have significant tissue loss precluding tension-free approximation of edges, with devitalized edges, ulcerations, or abscess cavities. Wound dressings are changed at
least daily to aid in the formation of granulation tissue and subsequent contraction.

Tertiary closure, also known as delayed primary closure, is healing by tertiary or third intention. The decision is made to perform a delayed closure of a wound after a variable period of time for which the wound has been left open. These wounds are grossly contaminated but do not have significant tissue loss, and can potentially be closed after the wound is thoroughly explored, irrigated, debrided, and observed for 3 to 7 days before surgical closure or skin grafting. The closure of these wounds can potentially be expedited with negative-pressure vacuum therapy (Kugler 2016, Vargo 2012, Kaushik 2017, Cherubino 2017).

Evidence Appraisal

Primary Closure (PC) versus Delayed Primary Closure (DPC)
In 2013, Bhangu et al performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of 8 randomized control trials (RCTs) comparing primary versus delayed primary skin closure in specifically contaminated (United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s National Healthcare Safety Network wound class III) and dirty/infected (United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s National Healthcare Safety Network wound class IV) abdominal incisions. The review concluded that DPC may represent a simple, reliable, and potentially cost-effective method of reducing surgical site infections (SSI), but the analysis was inconclusive due to the poor study designs, high risk of bias, and clinical heterogeneity.

- The RCTs included 623 patients with various abdominal wounds: post-appendectomy, repair of perforated hollow-viscus injury, ileostomy closure, trauma, and intra-abdominal abscess or other peritonitis.
- The RCTs had varying time to review for DPC patients (2 to 5 days postoperatively), criteria to continue DPC, and definitions of wound infection.
- The review noted a high rate of heterogeneity (77%) between the study designs of the RCTs. When controlling for the heterogeneity, the risk of SSI was reduced in patients with DPC (odds ratio, 0.65; 95% confidence interval, 0.40-0.93; \( P = .02 \); fixed-effect model analysis). However, when accounting for the heterogeneity, the risk of SSI in DPC patients was no longer significant (odds ratio, 0.65; 95% confidence interval, 0.25-1.64; \( P = .36 \); random-effect model analysis).

Timing of Wound Closure in Open Fractures
In 2015, Halawi and Morwood performed an evidence-based review of the acute management of open fractures. When looking specifically at the timing of wound closure, the review referenced the following 2 studies:

- Benson et al’s double-blind prospective study of 82 open fractures found no increased risk of infection when wound closure was delayed for 5 days postoperatively in highly contaminated fractures while patients were receiving prophylactic antibiotics.
- Gopal et al retrospectively reviewed 84 patients with Gustilo Type IIIB (extensive soft tissue damage with inadequate coverage) and IIIC (arterial injury requiring repair) open tibia fractures who underwent debridement, fracture fixation, and vascularized muscle flap either within or 72 hours after injury (early vs delayed). The study concluded that the low rates of infections in the early fracture fixation and flap coverage group supported the concept that delayed coverage was not necessary if healthy soft tissue can be imported reliably into the zone of injury. The review concluded that questions persist regarding PC versus DPC of open fractures.

Of note, the American College of Surgeons Trauma Quality Improvement Program (ACS TQIP®) guidelines, which are based on expert opinions, recommend that when possible, skin defects overlying open fractures should be closed at the time of initial debridement. For open fractures associated with wounds requiring coverage with skin grafting or soft tissue transfers (in other words, Gustilo Type IIIB), it is recommended that coverage be completed within 7 days from the time of the injury.
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